ANNEX: LLLP 2017 Erasmus+ Survey Questions

PROFILE OF RESPONDENTS
Q1: Name of your organisation
Q2: Type of organisation
Q3: Which field or education sector do you primarily specialise in?
Q4: Your experience with Erasmus+ and/or previous Lifelong Learning Programme?
Q5: Your experience with Erasmus+ and/or previous Lifelong Learning Programme was as
Q6: Do you receive an operating grant from Erasmus+?

GENERAL INFORMATION
Q7: Did you apply for any of the 2016-17 Erasmus+ Calls for proposals?
Q8: For which Erasmus+ Action(s) did you apply?
Q9: Did you submit project applications as a partner / applicant / both?
Q10: In case you applied in 2016, were any of your applications successful?
Q11: From your experience, what was the easiest way to find project partners or build a consortium?

APPLICATION PROCESS
Q12: In which country / countries did you apply for Erasmus+?
Q13: If you applied through more than one NA, do you think the programme rules were applied in the same way?
Q14: Did you find the Erasmus+ programme guide ...?
Q15: Have NAs and/or EACEA provided sufficient support/assistance?
Q16: How would you rate the application form(s)?
Q17: Rate from 1 to 5 the application (s) parts according to their level of difficulty? (1 low - 5 high)
Q18: Rate from 1 to 5 the aspects of the application stage according to their level of difficulty? (1 low - 5 high)
Q19: How much time did you spend preparing the project application?

IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS
Q20: Did you encounter any bureaucratic / administrative difficulty with the project implementation?
Q21: Do you consider the funding sufficient and able to cover the real needs?
Q22: Based on your 2016/2017 experience with Erasmus+ funded projects, do you think that the implementation and management of centralised actions by the EACEA is functioning well?
Q23: In your experience, the lump sum system is ...?
Q24: Were you provided with the ‘administrative and financial handbook’ from the awarding body?
Q25: How well do you understand the ‘administrative and financial handbook’? (1 low - 5 high)
Q26: If you have implemented projects please rate the following statements: (1 low - 5 high)

PROGRAMME RELEVANCE
Q27: Do you think that Erasmus+ objectives and actions are well aligned with policy priorities in your field of work?
Q28: Do you think the programme takes enough into account the socioeconomic needs of individuals (learners) and addresses the needs of different learners in your context? (e.g. vulnerable groups)?
Q29: Do you see overlaps among similar types of actions (in your field of work) offered under Erasmus+ and other national/regional programme(s)?
Q30: What is your understanding of "cross-sector" cooperation in Erasmus+?
Q31: Does the programme allow you to implement "cross-sector" cooperation projects?

EVALUATION PROCESS
Q32: Did you receive sufficient feedback on your project application? (Rate from 1 not at all to 5 definitely yes)
Q33: Did you receive sufficient evaluation/feedback on completed project(s)? (Rate from 1 not at all - 5 definitely yes)
Q34: How satisfied were you with the evaluation report provided by the NA(s)/EACEA? (Rate from 1 not at all - 5 definitely yes)
Q35: What were the main reasons for your dissatisfaction? (in case you had any)

FUTURE PROGRAMME
Q36: According to you, what should be the priorities of the next programme?
Q37: How do you think the structure of the programme can be improved?
Q38: How do you think the programme could be made more accessible to newcomers (first time applicant)?
Q39: Do you think the overall financial support of the programme for the different key actions for the 2014-2020 period is sufficient to meet its objectives?
Q40: Do you think the allocation of the budget to the different key actions is well balanced?
Q41: Do you think the Erasmus+ programme would benefit from closer synergies with Creative Europe programme?
Q42 Do you think the Erasmus+ programme would benefit from closer synergies with Europe for Citizens programme?
Q43: Do you think the programme should be divided by sectors?
Q44: Please add any additional comment you consider relevant for this survey or that was missing